Land readjustment (LR)

Its potential for Africa

Dr. Rob Home

 Reader in Land Management and Director of Research, Anglia Law School, UK

- (r.home@apu.ac.uk)
- Research and consultancy
 - Nigeria, South Africa, Bulgaria, Malaysia, China, Pakistan, Israel
- Author
 - Of Planting and Planning: The making of British colonial cities (1997)
 - Planning Use Classes (1989)
- Manager DFID research project
 - land titling and peri-urban development

What is LR?

A technique for land development which

- assembles and reparcels land
- recovers infrastructure costs
- redistributes financial benefits (betterment) between land-owners and development agency
- Also known as:
 - land pooling
 - reparcellation
 - repartition
 - land consolidation

Stages in LR 1. Initiation

- usually petition by majority of propertyowners to the local authority
- minority dissenting landowners can be forced to contribute land
- designate area of land
- appoint public agency or private association of landowners
- appropriate enabling legislation (directors of association, conduct of meetings etc.)

Stages in LR 2. Plan

- Master plan
 - ignore previous ownership pattern
 - subdivision
 - future uses
 - re-plan road layout & road closures
 - public spaces
 - demolition of buildings

Stages in LR3.Costs

- Measure plot areas before and after readjustment
 - computer programmes locate the readjusted plots and calculate revised areas
- Estimate total market price of saleable plots
- Estimate infrastructure costs, including administrative, interest etc.
- 'Cost equivalent' plots should cover infrastructure and project costs

Stages in LR4. Reallocation

Return balance of plots back to landowners

- typically 60% of the land area contributed
- located as nearly as possible to original position
- Undertake development
 - outside developers?
- Sell cost-equivalent plots, retaining reserve land, as project proceeds

History: Germany

- Origins with Lex Adickes 1902 (Frankfurt-am-Main)
 - rapid urban growth created housing land shortage because of land fragmentation
 - Mayor of Frankfurt, Franz Adickes, sponsored Act
 - regroupment petitioned by owners of half of the land or by LA
 - 40 per cent limit on land area expropriation without compensation
- Extensively used for post-war reconstruction (1954 Act).

LR (kukaku seiri) in Japan

- Introduced 1919 from Germany
 - Great Tokyo earthquake 1923
- Post-War reconstruction
 - Applied to 30 % of urban areas
 - MacArthur land reforms created 2m owner-occupied farms
 - multi-level or vertical replotting in high-density urban areas
 - 1963 New Towns Act used LR
 - only way to fund basic infrastructure with land owner contribution
- Decline in recent years
 - end of speculative land bubble of 1980s
 - small land-owner opposition
 - loss of land area without compensation claimed violated constitutional rights.

LR in India

- Introduced by 1915 Bombay Town Planning Act
 - previously improvement trusts and infrastructure charge
 - betterment
 - costs of implementation and public land deducted
 - 50:50 split land-owners: local authority
 - applied to suburban housing development on light railway
- Post-independence
 - successor states of Maharashtra (1966) & Gujarat (1976)
 - Socialist state of Kerala

LR in Israel/Palestine

Introduced by British Mandate – 1921 Town Planning Act - Former Ottoman tanzimat law expropriation for highways communal land repartitioned Large-scale application for Jewish settlement on coastal zone • 1965 Israel Planning and Building Law (art. 7) - combine plots with or without consent Recent opposition - property-rights protectionism

LR elsewhere

- South Korea
 - introduced by Japanese colonial power
- Other Asian countries
 - Taiwan, Indonesia, Nepal, Thailand, Malaysia
 - biennial conference sponsored by Japanese
 - Osaka December 2002
- Central Rotterdam after 1940 bombing
- Attempts in United States
 - Washington, DC
 - Hawaii

Louvain Nouvelle University, Belgium

Why not in Britain?

- Discussed by planners but never adopted in law
 - British tradition of large estates
 - property inheritance by primogeniture
 - enclosures movement
 - compulsory purchase and compensation provisions
 - strong regulatory framework for infrastructure
 - Public Health Acts and Private Street Works Act 1892
 - Model Clause 42 in planning schemes
 - LA adjusts boundaries, agreed between the parties with a deed of exchange
- Post-1947
 - discussed but rejected in Uthwatt Report 1944
 - Section 106 agreements (planning gain & obligations)

Potential of LR

Increasing relevance to developing countries

- rapid urban growth
- land titling programmes
- secure tenure policies (De Soto)
- Possible applications:
 - peri-urban informal settlements
 - inner city regeneration
 - antiquated subdivisions (California, Florida)
 - Environmental/coastal protection zones
 - after disasters (earthquakes, fires, wars)

Pre-requisites for LR

politically acceptable to land-owners

- established (and rising) land market
- recognized valuation rules
- public confidence in implementing agency (usually municipality)
- Iand ownership validated through a formal land titling system
- legal machinery
- technical expertise

Access to land and secure tenure

- Land, poverty and exclusion
- UN Habitat campaign for secure tenure
- World Bank land titling agenda
- From squatter settlements to informal settlements
- Hernando de Soto
 - The mystery of capital (2000)
 - 'give people a land title and the world is their oyster' (Alan Gilbert)

De Soto's 'six property effects'

Fix the economic potential of assets
 Integrate dispersed information into one system

- **3.** Make people accountable
- **4**. Make assets fungible
 - n capable of being divided, combined or mobilized to suit any transaction
- **5**. Network people
- **6**. Protect transactions

African peri-urban areas

lacking utilities and infrastructure
institutional fragmentation
'cloudy' land title
ineffective regulation
social fragmentation
speculative subdivision & development

Benefits of LR

Land for public purposes at no cost
Infrastructure provision at no direct cost
Planned development
Political acceptability to land-owners

they participate in the benefits

Economies of scale for large projects
Discourages land speculation

Disadvantages of LR

Slow procedures
More suited to high-value plots
Requires expertise
Interferes with property rights
Valuation rules

DfID Research Project R8062

- Land titling, poverty alleviation and peri-urban development
 - Botswana
 - Trinidad
 - Zambia
- Types of intermediate land title
 - tribal land board customary grant (Botswana)
 - certificate of comfort (Trinidad)
 - council lease (Zambia)
- Social impacts of land titling
 - interview plotholders in peri-urban areas